

Agency/Organization/Community	Focus Area	Comment	Where addressed in document?
NPS	Access	Look for opportunities for non-indigenous people to forage and harvest and care for places, but defer to tribes first.	Action 15.
NPS	Access	Need to create more opportunities for tribal access AND consider recreational impacts on treaty rights.	Action 15.
CREST	Access and Recreation	We are not the landowner of any of our projects (CREST) when all is said and done some landowners, particularly private landowners see access and recreation as a barrier to restoration. Once we open/breach a levee their property or portions become waters of the state and are therefore publicly accessible. Sometimes this isn't desirable so we have to figure out ways to make the project accessible to fish and wildlife but less so to the public. When we work with the NPS, USFWS, DSL, State Parks, etc. its he opposite/not an issue, but encouraged.	Action 2
HAP	Access and Recreation	<p>Here are a few thoughts based on the formatting of the past plan (specifically related to swimming)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Swimming can be integrated into existing maps with swimming symbols, and can be integrated into a majority of the current verbiage</li> <li>-Evaluate current access points to determine feasibility for swimming, determine if these areas could be made safer (signage, life jacket loaner station), look to assign a risk classification (like a bike trail) from beginner to expert, look to be very conservative in rating and always note swimming is dangerous and "at your own risk"</li> <li>-Evaluate adequacy of current access points (level of development, amenities, variety, proximity to people)</li> <li>-Education could include swimming lessons in rivers, life jacket giveaways</li> <li>-look for opportunities for all physical ability access to get into rivers</li> <li>-look for fun ways to bring attention to underutilized areas or places that need some love, rebrand and bring attention to these spaces with fun activities, particularly combining a work party with a dip after.</li> <li>-I have always loved the idea of developing a Jr. Lifeguard program in Portland, I think Portland Fire and AMR River Rescue could be a great partners. A great way to develop leadership, mentoring kids and having dedicated eyes on the water.</li> </ul>	Action 15.and Implementation plan.
HAP	Access and Recreation	<p>Basic Human Public Access Principals – Three components:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Signage that directs people to water edge access.</li> <li>2) A safe, inviting, path that coaxes people to the water's edge.</li> <li>3) A water edge space that people feel comfortable hanging out and provides direct access into the water should a person care to get into the river recreational purposes. The creation of a human habitat that is compatible with wildlife.</li> </ol> <p>In a nutshell, placemaking through landscape architecture, developing culture around seeing value in outdoor spaces and taking care of what we love.</p>	Action 15.
WDFW and LCEP	Access and Recreation	Thinking about swims, paddling, kayaking that LCEP supports. Would to think more about this one.	Action 15.
NOAA	Access/Community Education	My organization makes all of our public facing websites and publicly available documents 508 compliant. We aim to ensure colorblind folks can interpret our maps (for example) by using symbols and patterns instead of subtle color differences. We also provide ASL interpretation.	Action 13
CREST	Climate Change	We try to incorporate climate change resilience into all of our restoration projects. This begins at the feasibly phase is built into the design as it moves through its life cycle. The foal for each project is for it to be as climate resilient as possible so it can continue to provide ecological benefits not only now, bur far into the future. We have also taken a step back and looked at past project that were complete before climate resilience was at the forefront of the design goals and objectives to figure out if those projects need to be revisited.	Action 3

NOAA	Climate Change	Consider prioritizing habitat restoration actions addressing climate change effects on listed species, for example consider the limited areas/juxtapositions of thermal refugia areas and seek to add additional thermal refuge areas so juvenile fish can make it from one areas to the next. Climate change is likely to exacerbate temp problems.	Action 1, 2
NPS	Climate Change	Consider Modeled species range shifts in planting plans	"how" in Action 2.
NPS	Climate Change	SLR - consider more protections of uplands adjacent to wetlands for habitat to migrate	Already included in Action 2
NPS	Climate Change	Build to adapt/migrate Prioritize cold water inputs (Protect) Protect and restore uplands - tributaries and headwaters to maintain water quality	Action 1 and 2
OWEB	Climate Change	Over the past several years OWEB has incorporated climate change considerations into our evaluation criteria for projects. We've also focused on developing and cultivating lists of resources that applicants can use to quantify how their restoration projects mitigate climate change. our primary source of funding is constitutionally limited in its ability to address the four focus areas. Engagement work in the community must be targeted to future (within a defined timeframe) restoration or acquisition.	No obvious place to include.
WDFW and LCEP	Climate Change	Strategies to consider (many of which you already support) -Restoration; stormwater infrastructure improvements; monitoring and research to help monitor and decision making; vulnerability assessments; climate equity and justice	already included throughout
NOAA	Climate Change/General	Consider getting granular when evaluating or prioritizing habitat restoration to benefit listed fish, and aim efforts toward populations in peril. For example 14 of the 17 populations of the Columbia river chum are at less than 10% of their recovery target numbers (or so few fish we're not sure ow many are left). These populations need our help more than the 3 populations that are doing ok to good.	Action 1 already included
LCEP	Community Education	Include something about routinely exploring non-school based program gaps and realistically assess how to support/direct resources for curriculum program development based on findings.	Action 14
WDFW and LCEP	Community Education	Hard for me to envision how to improve LCEPS Ed Programming other than to say that I fully support programming that emphasizes engaging underserved/underrepresented communities.	already included Actions 13-15
OWEB	Community Education	Community education is incredibly important I'd love to use our engagement program in a way to address/incorporate EJ principles but unable to do with lottery dollars.	no need to include.
CREST	Community Education and EJ	In Portland area there are so many talented and motivated high school and college students. I would love to see LCEP offer opportunities to experience first hand restoration and enviro education in the watersheds they live in. Working with high schooler's from the city of Portland Youth Conservation Crews is ideal, and there are many colleges in the area. I often thing that I would have loved to visit active restoration projects when I was in college.	Already included.
LCEP	Community outreach/education	Plans written into restoration projects from the beginning; purchase van for site visits; citizen science photo monitoring at restoration sites; long-term plant establishment stewardship programs for climate resilience. Above ADA Compliance for trails and public access; Internships and CTE opportunities for projects in urban areas.	Action 14 (mostly. Did not include van piece, consider for implementaiton strategy not CCMP)
NPS	Education	school groups	Ok, already included.
NPS	Education	We need more programming and belter science communication for adults	Ok, already included.

LCEP	Education/Community Education	I think we could include a bullet (if not already there!) about staying informed and up to date on state science standards for grade levels and state student science assessments. The implementation is where we would include how we use that data -- but it's realistic to acknowledge in the CCMP that we are aware, care about, and use this information to inform our education programming.	action 14
CREST	EJ	I would love to incorporate TEK into the whole project management process from design through construction to end restoration and monitoring. As an organization, I'd like to see us prioritize participation in education summits, conferences, and gatherings based on tribal knowledge.	consider for implementation plan, covered in some actions already.
LCEP	EJ	First Foods - Focused reveg plans for restoration sites	Action 5
LCEP	EJ	Craft into a How Statement: New approach: How can we reflect our long-term commitment to change in the CCMP? Why What does the implementation of the Estuary Partnership's different approach to addressing communities look like (i.e., vs. taking a project to an area)? How to have a framework for approaching communities? How do we share credit in a real way? Do we have to have ownership and put our name on things? How do we balance giving the community work with community engagement? How do we find out what communities need? From them? From partners? From study? Can we hire a community liaison from within the community to help as a bridge? The group decided that this area would be the basis of a good "How" in the updated CCMP.	Move to implementation plan
National Park Service	EJ	Impacts from recreation on treaty rights	did not include, no treaty areas in our study area.
NPS	EJ	IT was cool to hear that you're testing traditional foods for toxins. More of that! And Allowing traditional harvest everywhere as much as possible.	Action 15.
OWEB	EJ	Acquisition project that benefit fish and wildlife while returning land to tribes. OWEB rules require a conservation easement on our acquisition projects most federally recognized tribes are not willing to use OWEB funding for this reason. WE should to pay tribes for their time reviewing our requests and developing acquisitions.	this is a comment to OWEB. Did not include.
WDFW and LCEP	EJ	Conceptually partners and stakeholders should have equitable treatment (fair treatment via EPA) and meaningful engagement in environmental decision making that may effect their communities. Reference Department of Interior EJ Strategic Plan for Goals/Activities or NOAAs recently released EJ strategy. NOAAs includes 3 goals that may be relevant.	Action 16
LCEP	EJ and Climate Change	The updated CCMP should help us clarify how to work across broad geography, while still EJ focused. Reference to the Equity Map or disparity mapping?	Move to implementation plan
CREST	General	Working in this estuary is complex and of those reasons is because there is no style guidance document or resource that specifically lists the steps to doing restoration work. If we could collaborate on creating such a resource, that would be so beneficial. taking institutional knowledge from inside staff's heads and documents it in easily accessible manner would be a game changer.	Move to implementation plan
CREST	General	Regulatory Agency Review - Need more programmatic. We need to include the rest of the players, so they can cooperate and streamline review of the projects that meet the goals/objectives of the plan. Regulatory review is important, but often these projects are already vetted, heavily vetted, and the red tape signific ally delays progress.	noted. Consider for implementation plan.
LCEP	General	Cautions: How can the CCMP and funding sources be structured so the EJ actions do not become tokenization? Is an "update" tokenism (vs. a full revision)? How do we ensure the tail does not wag the dog? <a href="#">This will be an important consideration in presentation and format. We need to be clear about our language and intentions.</a>	final formatting and review questions. Noted.

National Park Service	General	How can we best work together to streamline/facilitate permitting and compliance requirements? List of best management practices; list of mitigation measures; lessons learned on processes; timelines; succession planning with changing staff.	Move to implementation plan
NCWC	General	Would like an outline of future desired projects and monitoring opportunities on private lands and strategies for employing estuary uplift on these lands.	Action 2, partially, rest for implementation plan.
NOAA	General	The CCMP overlaps with the NOAA Fisheries LCR Salmon/Steelhead Recovery Plan (NMFS 2013). This plan integrates 3 grassroots developed geographically based subplans (LCFRB, one co-written w/NMFS for the white salmon, and one from ODFW) plus two topic-focused modules - the estuary module and the hydropower module. CCMP revisions will likely affect/shape progress towards recovery goals. Let's keep in touch.	noted.
NPS	General	Anything anyone can do to streamline compliance	noted. Consider for implementation plan.
OWEB	General/targets	Expansion of education programs (Estuary Partnership programs) to the coastal areas. There are many capacity building opportunities that could be developed with NCWA and other local partners.	Noted.
NPS	Recreation	Trails, kayaks programs	included already.
CREST	Targets	I would love to see CREST improve in community education since we don't have outreach folks and generally fly under the radar.	noted. Comment for CREST.
CREST	Targets	From 2004 through 2017, 5400 acres were restored as part of the CEERP. That acreage continued to grow to an impressive number, but it still is not enough. I think the target should be another 10-20000 acres restored by 2035. but the challenge is that the easy projects have all been done and those that remain are complex. They will require a lot of planning, time, resources, money, and coordination , cooperation to complete. The target should be community education as this will open the doors and potentially simplify these challenging and more complex projects.	noted.
LCEP	Targets	80% of projects have an identified first foods reveg plan: All projects w/public access have an accessibility plan and consultation; All projects have a climate change evaluation to establish needs for extreme weather.	consider for implementation plan, covered in some actions already. Second bullet included in Action 14.
NCWC	Targets	We would like to see more social sciences or the metrics that best measure the focus areas so we can consistently track and report this back to the partnership.	Action 17
NCWC	Targets	Number of community members reached by programs and restoration activities Tracking the impact of restoration activities on climate change targets such as juvenile salmonid counts, water quality and quantity, and temp. Greater science and GIS communication to reflect targets for the focus areas.	Already included
NOAA	Targets	Climate change target of working proactively to ensure adequate habitat remains available even as climate change progresses and we lose some habitats to sea level rise, development, etc.	not clear.
NOAA	Targets	EJ - collaborate with tribes to identify areas (or opportunities) valuable to them and engage w/tribes as partners/co-managers to enhance opportunities important to them.	Action 5 and 15
NOAA	Targets	Community Education - Aim to enhance engagement w/historically under represented communities and cultures. Develop/deliver programs in Spanish at a minimum and other add 'l languages if possible, to match diversity of human populations in LCR.	Implementaiton plan (already doing).

NOAA	Targets	Access/Recreation - Consider needs of ADA/Seniors/Less able folks such as gently sloping boat launch ramps, ADA accessible kayak launches - Humboldt Bay NWR has one as an example.	Action 15.
NPS	Targets	Bring purposeful commitment to meaningful and substantial co-stewardship with indigenous nations to the fore	noted. Implementation plan.
OWEB	Targets	Climate Change - Projects strategically placed to consider landward migration EJ- growing capacity of underrepresented community's/organizations to engage in watershed work Community Engagement (education)- broad awareness of watersheds	Already included.