Steigerwald Reconnection Project A Case Study in Emissions Reduction and Sequestration

Chris Collins, Curtis Helm, Doug Kreuzer, Catherine Corbett, Erica Keeley, *Curtis Loeb, **Darlene Siegel

Lower Columbia Estuary Partnership

Overview of Presentation

- Project overview
- Emissions footprint
- Sequestration of project emissions
- Mitigation reducing emissions on future projects
- Concluding Thoughts







Project Overview

- 1. Reconnected 1.5 square miles of Columbia River floodplain
- 2. Realigned a federally regulated levee system
 - Constructed 1.6 miles of setback levee
 - Removed 2.2 miles of existing levee
 - Raised 1,200 linear feet of State Route 14
 - Placed >2,200 pieces of LWD
 - Planted 250 acres of riparian forest
- 3. Climate change considerations
 - ✓ Adaptation





Emissions Footprint – Car	rbon Intensive Infrastructure		
	IMPACT	POUNDS CO ²	
	EARTHWORK	9,706,500	
	CONCRETE	4,068,400	ete
	STEEL	583,400	
	TOTAL	14,358,300	3 0 1 m
	The state of the second se		
1.7M cubic yards of earth	work (429,500 gal of diesel)		Lower Columbia Estuary Partnership

Sequestration of the Project's CO² Emissions - 250 acres of riparian forest; ~750,000 plants



Emissions Footprint/Sequestration – this was an informal accounting!

CAT



1. Start early! The alternatives analysis can be the most critical phase.



Lower Columbia

Partnership

Estuary

1. Start early! The alternatives analysis can be the most critical phase.



Lower Columbia

Partnership

Estuary

- 1. Start early! The alternatives analysis can be the most critical phase.
- 2. Focus stakeholder conversations on emissions, not only cost.







- 1. Start early! The alternatives analysis can be the most critical phase.
- 2. Focus stakeholder conversations on emissions, not only cost.
- 3. Rebuild infrastructure to meet future levels of risk.

West Setback Levee

State Route 14



- 1. Start early! The alternatives analysis can be the most critical phase.
- 2. Focus stakeholder conversations on emissions, not only cost.
- 3. Rebuild infrastructure to meet future levels of risk.
- 4. Less wood. More BDAs, willow trenches, and microtopography.



- 1. Start early! The alternatives analysis can be the most critical project
- 2. Focus stakeholder conversations on emissions, not only cost.
- 3. Rebuild infrastructure to meet future levels of risk.
- 4. Less wood. More BDAs, willow trenches, and microtopography.
- 5. Less *imported* wood. More *salvage* wood.



Concluding Thoughts

- ✓ Emissions are unavoidable.
- ✓ Start early!
 - Build stakeholder support for emissions reduction as a project objective.
 - Alternatives analysis and design phases are critical.
- Emissions reduction has the added benefit of reducing cost & schedule risk.
- Sequestration is a powerful tool.
 Be aggressive with plantings (and their survival).





- Remove 2.2 miles of existing levee (reconnects 965 acres of floodplain)
- Remove Gibbons Creek water control infrastructure
- Raise 1,300 linear feet of State Route 14
- Reforest 250 acres of native riparian habitat (635,000 plants)

Concluding Thought #1 – *Expect Some Type 3 Fun*

Type I Fun Type II Fun Type III Fun Miserable at the time; Never enjoyable; Enjoyable while it's happening. enjoyable only in retrospect. miserable at all times. Planning/Feasibility

Design Permitting **Real Estate**

Historic Conditions & Limiting Factors

